

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/735,242		12/12/2003	Katayoon Dehesh	16518.134	2801
28381	7590	10/02/2006		EXAMINER	
ARNOLD			KALLIS, RUSSELL		
•	ATTN: IP DOCKETING DEPT. 555 TWELFTH STREET, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	TON, DC	20004-1206	1638		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/735,242	DEHESH, KATAYOON					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Russell Kallis	1638					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 De</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro						
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) 6) 7) 8)	Claim(s) 37-65 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 37-65 are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	vn from consideration.						
	·							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the con	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 1						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 37-50 drawn to a method of altering the fatty acid composition in a transformed host cell, classified in class 435, subclass 134 for example.
- II. Claim 51 drawn to oil having altered fatty acid composition, classified in class554, subclass 227 for example.
- III. Claims 52-64 drawn to a method of modifying the fatty acid content in transformed plant seeds, classified in class 800, subclass 287 for example.
- IV. Claim 65 drawn to oil having modified saturated fatty acid content, classified in class 426, subclass 607 for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are the method of altering the fatty acid composition in a transgenic host cells of Group I and oil having altered fatty acid composition of Group II; wherein the of Group II is not required to practice the method of Group I and can be made by a method other than that of Group I.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation,

and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are the method of altering the fatty acid composition in a transgenic host cells of Group I and the method of altering the saturated fatty acid composition in a transgenic plant seed of Group III; wherein the methods have different starting materials and products that vary both in their fatty acid composition and their degree of saturation; and wherein the growth of the host cells and would require different conditions comprising different method steps and culturing reagents and materials than those of Group III.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are the method of altering the fatty acid composition in a transgenic host cells of Group I and the oil having modified saturated fatty acid content of Group IV; wherein the oil of Group IV is made by a method other than the method of Group I and is not required to practice the method of Group I.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are the oil having altered fatty acid composition of Group II and the method of altering the saturated fatty acid composition in a transgenic plant seed of Group III; wherein the oil having altered fatty acid composition is not required to practice the method of Group III and the oil of Group II is made by a method other than the method of Group III.

Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are the oil having altered fatty acid composition of Group II and the oil having modified saturated fatty acid content of Group IV; wherein the oil of Group II and Group IV are drawn to different compositions because the oil of Group II has altered fatty acid composition and the oil of Group IV has modified saturated fatty acid content.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are the method of altering the saturated fatty acid composition in a transgenic plant seed of Group III and the oil having modified saturated fatty acid content of Group IV; wherein the method of Group III does not require the oil of Group IV and the oil of Group IV can be made by a method other than the method of Group III.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification and due to their recognized divergent subject matter, and because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 1638

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/735,242 Page 6

Art Unit: 1638

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell Kallis whose telephone number is (571) 272-0798. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on (571) 272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Russell Kallis Ph.D. September 26, 2006

RUSSELL P. KALLIS, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Pannell Kallis